## INCOME PROTECTION (IP) YIELD CALCULATION AND INDEXED INCOME PROTECTION (IIP) YIELD CALCULATION

This procedure is effective for the 1998 crop year for calculating IP yields and effective for the 1999 crop year for IIP yields.

IP Yields are developed using data from all acreage of the crop in the county for a single unit by practice, type, variety (P/T/V), and FCI - 35 T-Yield map area if applicable. IP Yields are developed on a county enterprise basis. If multiple MPCI units need to be combined, use the procedure contained in the Crop Insurance Handbook, Exhibit 15, paragraph 1 C and examples $1 \& 2$, Combining APH Yield History except that all references to optional units are expanded to include basic units. The following are items that have changed for IP for the 1998 crop year or are specific to the IP Yield and County Average Yield Calculation.

## A PRODUCTION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Insureds MUST use all previously certified yield history that is still within the base period and have IP Yield(s) calculated.
(1) For the most recent $A P H$ crop year in the database, FCIC recommends that insureds complete an APH form for each standard APH unit using standard APH reporting requirements; however, if the producer elects to report total gross production and acreage by $P / T / V$ and $T-Y i e l d$ such production reports are acceptable for IP purposes ONLY. The IP Yield Worksheet (See Figure 15) can be used when several units are being combined to compute the IP yield. Reporting acreage and production for the most recent crop year according to APH procedure provides the insured the opportunity to switch to other crop insurance products without recertifying the production history for the crop year and to qualify for optional units if applicable.
(2) The insured must report and certify ALL planted acres and production for each APH crop year.
(a) For APH crop year(s) previous to the most recent crop year, acreage and production must be reported
separately by $P / T / V$ (when indicated on the actuarial table) and by location (legal description) when "T" Yield Map areas are involved.
(b) IP Yield(s) are compiled using the above acreage and production history by $P / T / V$ as specified on the IP Actuarial Table (see examples in Paragraph E this exhibit).

B Transitional Yields.
Four years of records are not required to establish an IP Yield for each P/T/V, or "T" Yield map area. If a P/T/V or "T" Yield map area requiring separate APH yields has less than four years of actual/assigned yields available, the IP T-Yield using standard category B APH procedure for variable $T$-Yields will be used to complete the database.

C County Average Yield.
The county average yield is based on the average of the county yields from the IP FCI-35 Coverage and Rate Table for years the producer has actual yields reported. If a producer has less than 4 actual yields to compute the IP yield, then the county average yield is the average of the 10 most recent county yields. (Examples of calculating county average yields are shown in Figures 10 and 14.)

D IP YIELD APPLICATION
The approved IP Yield (s)applies to all respective insurable acreage for a practice and type (only practices and types specified on the IP Actuarial Table are applicable). The IP Yield(s) are reported to FCIC as a type 15 record (yield record) for data processing purposes.

EXAMPLES OF CALCULATING IP YIELDS
The remainder of this exhibit is examples of combining MPCI units into an IP unit, calculating IP yields, calculating county average yields and IP rates.

Example 1 - Calculating an IP Yield from optional units in Map areas. (page 3)

Example 2 - Calculating a County Average Yield and looking up an IP Rate. (Using no T-yields)

## (page 6)

Example 3 - Calculating a County Average Yield and looking up an IP Rate. (Using T-Yields) (page 7)

Example 4 - Acreage record and Yield Record Example (Type 11 and 15 records) (page 8)

Example 5 - Calculating an IP Yield from APH historical units with practices different from the IP Actuarial Table practices. (page 11)

Example 6 - Indexed IP Yield calculation, rate look up, and electronic record layout - (Page 12)
(1) Example 1 - This example calculates an IP Yield from acreage covered under Map areas. The crop has been grown in two "T" Yield classification areas (Map Area). One P/T/V has been grown in each Map Area. Figures 1-3 are located in Map Area 1.

Figure 1, production reports for farm A, N-Irr Winter indicate two actual yields (one basic unit, standard APH located in section 11).

Figure 1: MPCI Unit 0100, Sec. 11, Map Area 1 N-Irr Winter

| 15.CY. | 16.TP. | 17.ACRES | 18.YieLd |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 19 XX | 4,200 | 100.0 | A42 |
| 19 XX |  | 0.0 | $Z$ |
| 19 XX | 4,300 | 100.0 | A43 |
| 19 XX |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 20.Prior Y. | 0.0 | $Z$ |  |

Figures 2 and 3, production reports for farm B, N-Irr Winter indicate two actual yields for unit 0201 (standard APH) in section 12 and no actual yields for unit 0202 (standard APH) in section 13.

Figure 2: MPCI Unit 0201, Sec. 12, Map Area 1 N-Irr Winter

| 15.CY. | 16.TP. | 17.ACRES | 18.YIELD |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 19 XX |  | 0.0 | Z |


| 19XX | 4,000 | 100.0 | A40 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 19XX |  | 0.0 | $Z$ |
| 19XX | 3,520 | 80.0 | A44 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 20.Prior Y. | 21.Approved APH |  |  |

Figure 3: MPCI Unit 0202, Sec. 13, Map Area 1 N-Irr Winter

| 15.Cy. | 16.TP. | 17. ACRES | 18. yiedd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19XX |  | 0.0 | Z |
| 19XX |  | 0.0 | Z |
| 19XX |  | 0.0 | Z |
| 19XX |  | 0.0 | Z |
|  |  |  | 19. |
| 20.Prior Y. |  | 21.Approved APH |  |

Figures 4, 5 and 6 are production reports for farm C, Irr Spring which indicate three MPCI optional units (standard APH) located in sections 27, 28, and 36 all within Map Area 2. Unit 0301 does not have any actual yields. Unit 0302 has two actual yields. Unit 0303 has one actual yield.

Figure 4: MPCI Unit 0301, Sec. 27, Map Area 2 Irr Spring

| 15.CY. | 16.TP. | 17.ACRES | 18.YIELD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19XX |  | 0.0 | Z |
| 19XX |  | 0.0 | Z |
| 19XX |  | 0.0 | Z |
| 19 XX |  | 0.0 | Z |
|  |  |  |  |
| 20.Prior Y. | 21.Approved APH |  |  |

Figure 5: MPCI Unit 0302, Sec. 28, Map Area 2 Irr Spring

| 15.CY. | 16.TP. | 17.ACRES | 18.YIELD |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19XX | 4,000 | 50.0 | A80 |  |
| 19XX |  | 0.0 | Z |  |
| 19XX |  | 0.0 | Z |  |
| 19 XX | 8,500 | 100.0 | A85 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.Prior Y. | 21.Approved APH |  |  |  |

Figure 6: MPCI Unit 0303, Sec. 32, Map Area 2 Irr Spring

| 15.CY. | 16.TP. | 17.ACRES | 18.YIELD |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 19XX |  | 0.0 | Z |
| 19XX |  | 0.0 | Z |
| 19XX |  | 0.0 | Z |
| $19 X X$ | 1,660 | 20.0 | A83 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 20.Prior Y. | 21.Approved APH |  |  |

Figures 7 and 8 are IP summary Yield APH Forms, one for each Map Area, Practice and Type.

Figure 7: IP Yield, Area 1 N-Irr Winter applicable to MPCI Units 0100, 0201, and 0202 (IP UNIT 0100)

| 15.cy. | 16.TP. | 17. Acres | 18. Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19XX | 4,200 | 100.0 | A 42 |
| 19XX | 4,000 | 100.0 | A40 |
| 19XX | 4,300 | 100.0 | A43 |
| 19XX | 3,520 | 80.0 | A4 4 |
|  |  |  | 19. 169 |
| 20 (A) PLEM. Y. | 42 | 21.Approved APH Yield |  |

Figure 8, IP Yield, Area 2 N-Irr Spring Applicable to MPCI Units 0301-0303 (IP UNIT 0100)

| 15.CY. | 16.TP. | 17.ACRES | 18.YIELD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19XX |  |  | N75 |
| 19XX |  |  | N75 |
| 19 XX | 4,000 | 50.0 | A80 |
| 19 XX |  | 0.0 | Z |
| 19 XX |  | 0.0 | Z |
| 19 XX | 10,160 | 120.0 | A84 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 20(A) <br> PLEM.Y. | 79 | 21.Approved APh Yield |  |

The forms are forwarded to the verifier who approves the Summary Yield. A separate IP Yield is required for each "T" yield map area for each practice, type, or variety (requiring separate APH yields) requested.
(2) Example 2 - The following is a rating example using IP Yields calculated in Figure 7 and the sample IP FCI-35 in Figure 11.

The producer's IP yield for Non-Irrigated Winter Wheat is 42 bushels per acre. Assuming the years in the IP Yield Database were the most recent four years (1994-1997), the IP Yield and County Average Yield Calculation are calculated as follows:

Figure 9:
IP YIELD WORKSHEET
STATE: 53
TYPE: 011
COUNTY: 075
PRAC: 003
CROP: 0011
MAP AREA: 001

| YEAR | TOTAL <br> PRODUCTION | ACRE <br> S | SUMMARIZED <br> YIELD | YIELD TYPE | COUNTY YIELD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | A=ACTUAL |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 4200 | 100 | 42 | A | 70 |
| 1995 | 4000 | 100 | 40 | A | 53 |
| 1996 | 4300 | 100 | 43 | A | 64 |
| 1997 | 3520 | 80 | 44 | A | 67 |

Based on this calculation and using the IP FCI-35 from Figure 11, the appropriate $75 \%$ rate for IP N-Irr Winter Wheat would be 0.088 .
(3) Example 3 - The following is an example of calculating a County Average Yield and IP rate using information from Figure 8. (T-yields used)

Figure 10:
IP YIELD WORKSHEET

STATE: 53
TYPE: 012
COUNTY: 075
PRAC: 002
CROP: 0011
MAP AREA: 002

| YEAR | TOTAL <br> PRODUCTION | ACRES | $\underset{\substack{\text { SUMMARIZED } \\ \text { YIELD }}}{ }$ | YIELD TYPE | COUNTY YIELD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  | 69 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  | 66 |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  | 56 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| 1992 |  |  | 75 | N | 53 |
| 1993 |  |  | 75 | N | 56 |
| 1994 | 4000 | 50 | 80 | A | 70 |
| 1995 |  | 0 | 0 | Z | 53 |
| 1996 |  | 0 | 0 | Z | 64 |
| 1997 | 10,160 | 120 | 84 | A | 67 |
|  |  | IP YIELD | 79 | COUNTY AVERAGE YLD | 63 |

Based on this IP Yield and County Average Yield calculation the appropriate rate for IP Irr Spring Wheat would be 0.039 . (Note that a 10 -year county average yield was used due to the IP Yield Summary having less than 4 actual yield years.)
(4) Example 4 - The Acreage report would be developed the same way it currently is for master yields using two line entries (abbreviated) as follows:

| Crop |  | Prac |  | Type | Unit | Map | Area |  | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate |  | Acre |  | Share |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat |  | 003 | 011 | 0100 | 001 | 42.0 |  | 0.088 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 002 |  | 012 | 0100 | 002 | 79.0 |  | 0.039 | 320.0 |
| 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

A new producer could submit an APH form for IP by practice/type/variety for the unit (essentially the summary alone) as outlined above. Or the
producer could develop the APH using standard APH procedures rolling the APH units into the IP Summary Yield by practice/type/variety.

```
The IP Yield information is stored electronically
in the following data fields:
```

11 Record - IP yield in Yield Field
County Average Yield in IP County Average Yield Field
15 Record - Acres in Yield Acre \# Fields
Summarized Yield in Annual Yield \# Fields
Yield type in Yield Type \# Fields
IP Yield in Approved Yield Field
County Average Yield calculation:
If more than 3 years of actual years in the 15 record (no tyields), the county average yield is the average of actual yield years' county yields from the $\mathrm{FCl}-35$.

If less than 4 years of actual yields on the 15 record, the county average yield is the average of the most recent 10 years' county yields from the FCl-35.

Figure 11:

| 08/12/96 | COUNTY ACTUARIAL TABLE |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | FCI-35 COVERAGE AND RATES |
|  | 1998 AND SUCCEEDING CROP YEARS |

ST: WASHINGTON (53) CO: Whitman (075)
CROP: WHEAT (0011)

INCOME PROTECTION BASE PREMIUM RATE

## 75\% COVERAGE LEVEL

## COUNTY AVERAGE YIELD

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRODUCER } \\ & \text { APH } \\ & \text { (BU) } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mid \\ & \|0-55\| \\ & \mid \end{aligned}\right.$ | 56-57 | $\text { \| } \mid \text { \| } \mid$ | \| 60-61 | $\text { \| } 62-63$ |  | \| 66-67 | $\text { \| } \begin{array}{r} \mid \\ \mid \\ \mid \end{array}$ | \| 70-999| |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-25 | \| 0.048 | | \| 0.102 | | 0.125 | \| 0.138 | | \| 0.183 | \| 0.219 | | \| 0.259 | 0.304 | \| 0.474 | |
| 26-30 | \| 0.033 | | \| 0.069 | \| 0.085 | | \| 0.095 | | \| 0.127 | | \| 0.153 | | \| 0.182 | | \| 0.215 | | 0.350\| |
| 31-35 | \| 0.027 | | \| 0.056 | \| 0.070 | | \| 0.077 | | \| 0.103 | \| $0.124 \mid$ | \| 0.149 | \| 0.176 | | \| 0.290 | |
| 36-40 | \| 0.023 | | \| 0.047 | | 0.058\| | \| 0.064 | | \| 0.086 | | \| 0.103 | | \| 0.123 | | \| 0.146 | | 0.242 \| |
| 41-45 | \| 0.021 | | \| $0.041 \mid$ | 0.050\| | \| 0.055 | \| 0.073 | | \| 0.088 | | \| 0.104 | | \| 0.124 | | $0.204 \mid$ |
| 46-50 | \| 0.019 | \| 0.037 | 0.044\| | \| 0.049 | | \| 0.064 | | \| 0.076 | | \| 0.090 | | \| 0.106 | | 0.174 |
| 51-55 | \| 0.017 | | \| 0.033 | | 0.039\| | 0.043 \| | \| 0.056 | | \| 0.066 | | \| 0.078 | | \| 0.092 | | 0.149 |
| 56-60 | \| 0.017 | 0.030\| | \| 0.036 | \| 0.039 | | \| 0.051 | | \| 0.060 | | \| 0.070 | | \| 0.081 | | 0.131\| |
| 61-65 | \| 0.016 | | 0.029 \| | 0.034\| | 0.037 \| | \| 0.047 | | \| 0.054 | | \| 0.063 | | \| 0.073 | | 0.116 |
| 66-70 | \| 0.016 | | \| 0.027 | | 0.032\| | \| 0.035 | | \| 0.043 | | \| 0.050 | | \| 0.058 | | \| 0.067 | | 0.105 |
| 71-75 | \| 0.016 | \| 0.026 | | 0.031\| | \| 0.033 | | \| 0.041 | | \| 0.047 | | \| 0.054 | | \| 0.062 | | 0.095 |
| 76-80 | \| 0.016 | | \| 0.026 | | $0.030 \mid$ | 0.032 \| | \| 0.039 | | \| 0.045 | | \| 0.051 | | \| 0.058 | | 0.087 |
| 81-85 | \| 0.016 | \| 0.025 | $0.029 \mid$ | 0.031\| | \| 0.038 | | \| 0.043 | | \| 0.048 | | \| 0.055 | | 0.081 |
| 86-90 | \| 0.016 | | \| 0.025 | | 0.028\| | 0.030\| | \| 0.036 | | \| 0.041 | | \| 0.046 | | \| 0.052 | | 0.076 |
| 91-95 | \| 0.016 | | \| 0.024 | 0.027\| | \| 0.029 | | \| 0.035 | | \| 0.039 | | \| 0.044 | | \| 0.049 | | 0.071 |
| 96-999 | \| 0.016 | | \| 0.024 | | 0.027\| | 0.029 \| | \| 0.034 | | \| 0.038 | | \| 0.042 | | \| 0.047 | | 0.066 |

INCOME PROTECTION COUNTY YIELD TABLE
CROP YEAR


1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |

(5) Example 5 - Calculating the IP Yield Summary from APH units having different practices/types.

This example shows how to compute an IP Yield for practice NPS (no practice specified) and type NTS (no type specified) from historical APH units with SF (summer fallow) and CC (continuous cropping) yields.

Figure 12: MPCI PRACTICE: 004 (CC) TYPE: 997

| 15.CY. | 16.TP. | 17.ACRES | 18.YIELD |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 1994 |  | 0.0 | 30 T |
| 1995 | 1,000 | 20.0 | 50 |
| 1996 | 1,100 | 20.0 | 55 |
| 1997 | 1,000 | 20.0 | 50 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 20.Prior Y. | 21.Approved APH |  |  |

Figure 13: MPCI PRACTICE: 005 (SF) TYPE: 997

| 15.CY. | 16.TP. | 17.ACRES | 18.YIELD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1994 |  |  | 25 N |
| 1995 |  |  | 25 N |
| 1996 | 450 | 10 | 45 A |
| 1997 | 400 | 10 | 40 A |
|  |  |  |  |
| 20.Prior Y. | 21.Approved APH |  |  |

TYPE: NTS

PRAC: NPS

MAP AREA:

| YEAR | TOTAL <br> PRODUCTION | ACRES | SUMMARIZED YIELD | YIELD TYPE | COUNTY YIELD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  | 34 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  | 27 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  | 35 |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| 1994 |  |  | 38 | T | 38 |
| 1995 | 1000 | 20 | 50 | A | 24 |
| 1996 | 1550 | 30 | 52 | A | 23 |
| 1997 | 1400 | 30 | 47 | A | 33 |
|  |  | IP YIELD | 47 | COUNTY AVERAGE YLD | 28 |

If you wish to use the above worksheet, a copy of a blank form is attached.
(6) Example 6 - The following is an example of calculating an indexed IP yield for a producer with less than four years of actual yields, looking up the indexed IP rate from the FCI-35 Actuarial Table and an abbreviated layout of the indexed IP information stored on the electronic acreage and yield record.

To calculate the Indexed IP yield, you need:

1) The IP yield (as described in Examples 1 - 3)
2) The producer's County Average Yield (Example 3)
3) The expected yield for the county (this is the most recent year's county yield from the
actuarial table)
4) The difference between the Producer's County Average Yield and their IP yield.

The formula is:

Expected Yield - (County Average Yield - IP Yield) = Indexed IP Yield
Figure 15.
IP AND INDEXED IP YIELD WORKSHEET

| STATE: | Maryland | TYPE: | Grain (016) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COUNTY: | Allegany | PRAC: NI | (003) |
| CROP: | CORN | MAP AREA: |  |


| YEAR | тотAL <br> PRODUCTION | ACRES | SUMMARIZED YIELD | YIELD TYPE | COUNTY YIELD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  | 102 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  | 80 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  | 104 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  | 88 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  | 104 |
| 19XX |  |  | 71 | N | 102 |
| 19XX |  |  | 71 | N | 91 |
| 19XX | 7400 | 100 | 74 | A | 97 |
| 19XX | 7400 | 100 | 102 | A | 102 |
|  |  | IP YIELD | 80 | COUNTY AVERAGE YLD | 97 |

INDEXED IP YIELD CALCULATION:
County Avg Yield IP Yield =
Difference


Expected Yield
minus
Difference (above)
$\overline{102}-\frac{17}{\square}$

The above producer had two years of actual production, so his IP yield was based on two actual yields and two transitional yields (use APH rules). The county average yield was computed using the 10 most recent county average yields from the FCI-35 (Figure 16) Coverage and Rate Table (in cases with 4 or more actual yields available then the county average yield is calculated using the county average yields for just the years which actual yields are reported).

Figure 16.





IP YIELD WORKSHEET

STATE:
COUNTY:
CROP:
MAP AREA:

| YEAR | TOTAL <br> PRODUCTION | ACRES | SUMMARIZED | YIELD TYPE | COUNTY YIELD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{10}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{19}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{19}{19}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - ¢rue |  | countr nemace nio |  |

IP AND INDEXED IP YIELD WORKSHEET

| YEAR | TOTAL PRODUCTION | Acres | SUMMARIZED YiELD | yield type | County yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | IP YIELD |  | COUNTY AVERAGE YLD |  |
| INDEXE County Differ | IP YIELD <br> Avg Yield nce | CULATIO <br> minus |  | IP Yield |  |

Expected Yield
Indexed IP Yield
(From Actuarial Table)

