## PASTURE, RANGELAND, FORAGE (PRF) PLANS OF INSURANCE

This presentation does not replace or supersede any procedures or modify any provisions contained in the complete insurance policy.


# Introduction and <br> <br> Program Overview 

 <br> <br> Program Overview}
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## Program Overview - Purpose

- Section's Purpose:
$\square$ Introduction to programs and unique topics
$\square$ Provide background and basic philosophy
- Covers 2 Programs:
$\square$ PRF Rainfall Index and PRF Vegetation Index
$\square$ Delineations noted
- Program Details:
$\square$ Provided in following sections of the presentation


## History

- History
$\square$ The Agricultural Risk Protection Act of 2000 (ARPA) mandates programs to cover pasture and rangeland
$\square$ Two new pilot programs approved for 2007 Crop Year
- Pasture, Rangeland, Forage (PRF) - Rainfall Index
- Pasture, Rangeland, Forage (PRF) - Vegetation Index
$\square$ Both programs covered in this presentation
- Slides covering both programs
- Slides covering Rainfall Index Only
- Slides covering Vegetation Index Only

Bотн
RAINFALL
VEGETATION

## Introduction

■ Beginning with the 2007 Crop Year


## Program Potential

■ Estimated acres covered by the pilot

| State | Grazingland <br> Acres | Hayland Acres |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Colorado | $14,734,538$ | 506,260 |
| Idaho | $4,347,110$ | 591,918 |
| North Dakota | $11,806,699$ | $1,318,789$ |
| Pennsylvania | 471,656 | 517,522 |
| South Carolina | 760,193 | 191,801 |
| Texas | $62,905,239$ | $1,372,929$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 5 , 0 2 5 , 4 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 9 9 , 2 1 9}$ |

## Program Potential

- Estimated program potential:
$\square$ (assume: Participation = 10\%, Coverage Level = 75\%...)

| State | Estimated <br> Average Rate | Estimated <br> Premium Volume |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Colorado | $14.0 \%$ | $\$ 3,977,019$ |
| Idaho | $14.4 \%$ | $\$ 3,992,180$ |
| North Dakota | $13.6 \%$ | $\$ 3,296,159$ |
| Pennsylvania | $4.4 \%$ | $\$ 846,801$ |
| South Carolina | $7.4 \%$ | $\$ 507,825$ |
| Texas | $18.4 \%$ | $\$ 18,146,679$ |
| Total |  | $\$ 30,766,663$ |

Source: 2002 Census of Agriculture for grazingland and Hayland plus 1997 Census of Agriculture data for Grazing Permit Acres for the County Data

## Introduction

- Beginning with the 2007 CY



## Program Potential

■ Estimated acres covered by the pilot

| State | Grazingland <br> Acres | Hayland Acres |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Colorado | $6,999,791$ | 250,480 |
| Oklahoma | $14,732,631$ | $1,301,112$ |
| Oregon | $12,479,419$ | 551,819 |
| Pennsylvania | 218,386 | 285,480 |
| South Carolina | 251,952 | 38,302 |
| South Dakota | $21,827,464$ | 788,963 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 6 , 5 0 9}, \mathbf{6 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 1 6 , 1 5 6}$ |

Source: 2002 Census of Agriculture for grazingland and Hayland plus 1997 Census of Agriculture data for Grazing Permit Acres for the County Data

## Program Potential

- Estimated program potential:
$\square$ (assume: Participation = 10\%, Coverage Level = 75\%...)

| State | Estimated <br> Average Rate | Estimated <br> Premium Volume |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Colorado | $9.0 \%$ | $\$ 1,217,513$ |
| Oklahoma | $6.3 \%$ | $\$ 2,580,173$ |
| Oregon | $7.8 \%$ | $\$ 2,729,686$ |
| Pennsylvania | $6.1 \%$ | $\$ 629,002$ |
| South Carolina | $5.2 \%$ | $\$ 78,339$ |
| South Dakota | $9.9 \%$ | $\$ 3,242,753$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 4 7 7 , 4 6 6}$ |

Source: 2002 Census of Agriculture for grazingland and Hayland plus 1997 Census of Agriculture data for Grazing Permit Acres for the County Data

## Challenges

- Crop challenges
$\square$ Various plant species
$\square$ Timing of plant growth
$\square$ Crop continuously harvested via livestock
$\square$ Lack of individual/industry data
$\square$ Vast range of management practices across the industry
$\square$ Publicly announced prices not available


## Crop Information

- Crop
$\square$ (0088) Pasture, Rangeland, Forage
- Crop Types
$\square$ (064) Grazingland
$\square$ (063) Hayland


## Crop Types

- Grazingland
$\square$ Established acreage for perennial forage
$\square$ Intended for grazing by livestock
$\square$ Acreage must be suitable for grazing


## Crop Types

- Hayland
$\square$ Established acreage for perennial forage
$\square$ Intended for haying
$\square$ Acreage must be suitable for haying
- Program covers all types of grazing and haying forage
$\square$ (i.e. not just alfalfa)


## Program Overview

■ GRP program
$\square$ Goal - utilize an existing policy type

- Capitalize on current program familiarity
- Increase marketability and effectiveness
$\square$ The resulting design is based on the principles of the existing GRP program


## Program Overview

■ Index background
$\square$ Lack of actual producer/industry production data
$\square$ No consistent and sound methodology for measuring production of the crop
$\square$ The deviation from long-term normal precipitation is used to establish the index

- SINGLE PERIL COVERAGE
$\square$ Precipitation has a high degree of correlation to forage production


## Program Overview

- Index driven - NOAA data
$\square$ Primary index difference
- Based on NOAA data vs. NASS county yields
$\square$ Reports precipitation data
$\square$ Widely used source of precipitation information
$\square$ Dependable source
$\square$ Long data history - since 1948
$\square$ Consistent and universal coverage through a grid system
- Grid boundaries vs. county boundaries


## Program Overview

■ Index background
$\square$ Lack of actual producer/industry production data
$\square$ No consistent and sound methodology for measuring production of the crop
$\square$ The deviation from long-term normal 'greenness' is used to establish the index
$\square$ Crop 'greenness' reflectivity has a high degree of correlation to forage production

## Program Overview

■ Index driven - EROS data (Earth Resources Observation and Science - USGS)
$\square$ Primary index difference

- Based on EROS data vs. NASS county yields
$\square$ Reports NDVI data (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index - aka 'greenness')
$\square$ Widely used source of NDVI information
$\square$ Dependable source
$\square$ Sufficient data history - since 1989
$\square$ Consistent and universal coverage through a grid system
- Grid boundaries vs. county boundaries


## Program Overview

Grid
Overview

## Program Overview

- Area of insurance $=0.25^{\circ}$ grids ( $\sim 12 \times 12$ miles $)$



## Program Overview

■ Areas of insurance $=0.25^{\circ}$ grids
$\square$ Grids vs. County
$\square$ Grids are approximately $12 \times 12$ miles in size
$\square$ Provides for a consistent program across the United States
$\square$ Counties vary in size, but the grids do not
$\square$ Grid size reduces basis risk vs. county size

- Allows for closer correlation to individual experience
$\square$ Grids will cross county and state lines


## Program Overview

■ Area of insurance $=8 \times 8 \mathrm{~km}$ ( $\sim 4.8 \times 4.8$ miles $)$


## Program Overview

- Areas of insurance $=8 \times 8 \mathrm{~km}$ grids
$\square$ Grids vs. County
$\square$ Grids are approximately $4.8 \times 4.8$ miles in size
$\square$ Provides for a consistent program across the United States
$\square$ Counties vary in size, but the grids do not
$\square$ Grid size reduces basis risk vs. county size
- Allows for closer correlation to individual experience
$\square$ Grids will cross county and state lines


## Program Overview

Index

## Intervals

## Program Overview

■ Index Intervals
$\square$ Multiple Intervals offered - $\underline{6}$
$\square$ Crop Year divided into 6, 2-month intervals for each grid
$\square$ Similar to Crop Practices
$\square$ Ability for producers to manage appropriate timing risks

- Correlate to individual growth patterns and production seasons
$\square$ The 2-month intervals provide for greater reaction to precipitation events vs. a yearly average


## Program Overview

■ Index Intervals


## Program Overview

■ Index Intervals
Intervals
6, 2-month


## Program Overview

■ Index Intervals
Intervals
6, 2-month

$\square$ These Intervals act as 'mini-insurance periods’

- For example, indemnities payable on one Interval are not dependent on results from other Intervals


## Program Overview

## ■ Index Intervals

$\square$ Producers must select at least 2 intervals

- The purpose of the program is to insure annual forage production
$\square$ Total annual forage production is influenced by precipitation in more than one 2-month interval; therefore, producers are required to insure in more than one interval

Maximum percentages are region specific
$\square$ Based on growing season (50-70\%)

## Program Overview

■ Index Intervals
$\square$ Multiple Intervals offered - $\underline{4}$
$\square$ Crop Year divided into 4, 3-month intervals for each grid
$\square$ Similar to Crop Practices
$\square$ Ability for producers to manage appropriate timing risks

- Correlate to individual growth patterns and production seasons
$\square$ The 3-month intervals provide for greater reaction to forage reduction events vs. a yearly average


## Program Overview

■ Index Intervals


## Program Overview

■ Index Intervals
Intervals
4, 3-month


## Program Overview

■ Index Intervals

## Intervals

4, 3-month

$\square$ These Intervals act as 'mini-insurance periods’

- For example, indemnities payable on one Interval are not dependent on results from other Intervals


## Program Overview

■ Index Intervals
$\square$ Minimizes dependency on subjective pre-determined forage growing seasons
$\square$ Maintains consistency across the country

- Allows for regional and local variance
- Allows individual freedom to select appropriate intervals
$\square$ Index Intervals are mutually exclusive
- One index does not effect the others
- All rated separately


## Program Overview

- Coverage Levels
$\square$ Percentages available: 90, 85, 80, 75, and 70
$\square$ Consistent with other GRP programs
$\square$ Higher coverage levels reduce basis risk
- Correlates closer to individual experience
- Catastrophic Risk Protection (CAT)
$\square$ Not currently available
$\square$ Producers are still eligible for NAP coverage


## Вотн

## Program Overview

- Rating
$\square$ Each grid, index interval, and coverage level is individually rated
- Minimizes adverse selection
$\square$ No economic advantage of insuring in one scenario vs. another
$\square$ Encourages producers to select a scenario that best mitigates their operation/production risks
- Adequate data permits the individual rating
$\square$ Allowing the rates to accurately reflect the risks of each scenario


## Program Overview

- Not required to insure $100 \%$ of acreage
$\square$ Forage utilized in the annual grazing or hay cycle can be insured without insuring all acreage
$\square$ All acres within a property may not be productive, e.g., rocky areas, submerged areas
$\square$ Provides additional flexibility for the insured to design the coverage to his specific needs
$\square$ Because the program is a group program and other programs are not available, there is no opportunity to 'move’ production


## Program Overview

■ Sales Closing Date: November 30
$\square$ Only one Sales Closing per year
$\square$ Consistent with other programs’ SCD
$\square$ Minimizes possible forecasting and program abuse

- 60+ day lag to the Crop Year RAINFALL
- 100+ day lag to the Crop Year Vegetation
- Note: This is a change from earlier versions of the policy sent to the companies - but was changed due to company feedback


## Program Overview

■ Program supported via internet
$\square$ Provides the most efficient and effective way to deliver the program
$\square$ Allows access to the mapping tools

- Locate grazing areas and associated Grid ID numbers
$\square$ Provides access to the historical indices
$\square$ Allows access to all relevant data, materials, and tools associated with the program


## Advantages

- Flexibility
- Covers predominant perils
- Provides for timely indemnities

■ Index Intervals are mutually exclusive

- Individual loss adjustments not needed
- Easily understood Index
- Production records not required
- Moral hazard and adverse selection minimized


## Disadvantages

■ Individual losses/experiences not covered

- Slight terminology differences from other GRP programs


## Questions?

## Science And

Technology Behind the Program

## Crop Biology

- The program addresses forage-based production systems on land areas producing primarily perennial vegetation
- Comprised of diverse plant communities and mixtures:
- Perennial and annual
- Warm season and cool season

■ Different growth habits over extended time periods

## Crop Biology

- Forage may be harvested directly by grazing animals, harvested for hay, or a combination of both:
$\square$ Continual harvest and/or single haying
- Capacity to live and reproduce from year to year
- Because of the nature of forage-based systems, the program is designed to insure annual production


## Program Technology

- Indices are highly correlated with forage production, but do not directly predict actual forage production
$\square$ PRF Rainfall Index - Precipitation da
$\square$ PRF Vegetation Index - NDVI data
RAINFALL
VEGETATION
- Index starts accumulating on the first day of the specified interval through the last day of the same interval
$\square$ At the end of each interval, the percent of normal is calculated
$\square$ Influence of extreme precipitation events is effectively reduced Rainfall Only


## Program Technology

- Daily historical data since 1948
- Data updated daily
- Data is interpolated by NOAA into weather grids nationwide
$\square \sim 12 \times 12$ miles in size ( $0.25^{\circ}$ data), and used in many other national programs


## Grid Example for North Dakota



- CitiesRainfall Grid
$\square$ Counly Bcundaries


## Program Technology

- Historical data since 1989
- Data updated every 14 days
- Grids are 8 km
$\square$ Data collected in 1 km grids - aggregated up to 8 km grids
$\square \sim 4.8 \times 4.8$ miles in size, and used in many other national programs


## Program Technology

- The Vegetation Index is derived from 2 data sources:
$\square$ NDVI data from NASA and processed by EROS
$\square$ NOAA gridded average daily temperature data

■ NDVI captures vegetation 'greenness'

- Temperature correction for excessive hot and cold temperatures suppressing growth even when plants are green


## Grid Example for Oklahoma



- Clifes
$\square$ 8 km NDVI Grid
Counly Baundaries



## Questions?

## Program Basics

## Terminology and Other Differences

- Grid and Grid ID in addition to County

■ Insurable and Insured acres versus Planted acres

- Index versus Yields
- Web based
- No current CAT coverage
- Not required to insure $100 \%$ of acres
- Must select at least two Index Intervals Rainfall only
- Grid IDs, crop types, acreage, and Index Intervals will be determined prior to the Sales Closing Date


## Basic Definitions

■ County: may also include any acreage within a grid ID that crosses an adjoining county or state line where the acreage is contiguous


## Basic Definitions

- Insurable Acreage: Hayland and grazingland that is not planted annually
$\square$ Overseeding into acreage of existing forage crops is acceptable
$\square$ Annually planted crops currently not insurable
$\square$ Insurable acres will consist of the total number of acres suitable for insurance under these crop provisions
- Includes both insured acres and uninsured acres


## Basic Definitions

■ Insured Acres: The number of insurable acres selected to be insured by a producer
$\square$ May choose to insure either Grazingland, Hayland, or both
$\square$ Not required to insure 100\% of the crop type(s)

- If the insured chooses to insure the crop types under this policy they cannot insure the same crop under any other FCIC subsidized program


## Basic Definitions

- Unit: The insured acres within or assigned to a Grid ID for each crop type and index interval
$\square$ If there are multiple Grid IDs on a policy, the index values are not added together, each unit and crop stands on its’ own
$\square$ Basic Units only - no basic unit discount


## Basic Definitions

- County Base Value: established production value of grazingland and hayland forage
$\square$ Only one value per county for each crop type
$\square$ Does not include GRP 1.5 multiplier
- Productivity Factor: A percentage multiplier allowing the insured to individualize coverage based on their individual crop productivity
$\square$ Insured selects between 60 and 150\%
- Concept is the same as 'price election' in other GRP policies
- Only one productivity factor may be selected per county and crop type


## Basic Definitions

- Dollar Amount of Protection per Acre: The county base value (CBV) per acre, multiplied by the productivity factor (PF) ( $60 \%-150 \%$ ), multiplied by the coverage level (CL) (70\%-90\%) EXAMPLE:

$$
\$ 17.65(\mathrm{CBV}) \times 1.20(\mathrm{PF}) \times 0.85(\mathrm{CL})=\$ \mathbf{1 8 . 0 0} \text { per Acre }
$$

$\square$ Only one dollar amount of protection per acre for each county and crop type

## Basic Definitions

■ Policy Protection per Unit: Dollar amount of protection per acre, multiplied by the insured acres, multiplied by the producer's share of the unit for each grid

## EXAMPLE:

$\$$ Amount of Protection/ac = \$18.00, Insured Acres = 1,000, Share = 100\%, 50\% Interval II, 50\% Interval III
For:
Index Interval II: $\$ 18.00 \times 500$ ac $\times 100 \%$ (share) $=\mathbf{\$ 9 , 0 0 0}$
Index Interval III: $\$ 18.00 \times 500$ ac $x \mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ (share) $=\mathbf{\$ 9 , 0 0 0}$
■ Policy Protection: The sum of the policy protection per units (\$18,000)

## Program Dates

■ Crop Year: February 01 - January 31
■ Sales Closing Date: November 30 (crop type, dollar amount of protection per acre, coverage, Grid ID, index intervals, and items relevant to acreage report)

- Acreage Reporting Date: November 30
- Contract Change Date: August 31

■ Premium Billing Date: October 01

## Program Dates



## Program Dates

- Crop Year: April 01 - March 31

■ Sales Closing Date: November 30 (crop type, dollar amount of protection per acre, coverage, Grid ID, index intervals, and items relevant to acreage report)

- Acreage Reporting Date: November 30
- Contract Change Date: August 31

■ Premium Billing Date: October 01

## Program Dates



## Coverage

- CAT
$\square$ Coverage currently not available
- Coverage Levels
$\square 70,75,80,85$, or $90 \%$
$\square$ Only one coverage level for each of the insured crop types in the county
$\square$ Consistent with other GRP RBUP


## Index Intervals

- Index Interval: a specified period of time in which precipitation data is collected resulting in a grid index
$\square$ Producer can insure in any interval
- Can insure in 2, 3, 4, 5, or all 6 intervals - or any combination
$\square$ Minimum insurance $=10 \%$ in any chosen interval
$\square \underline{\text { Maximum insurance }}$
- Producer must insure in at least 2 intervals
- Maximum percentage allowed located in SPOI (ranges 50-70\%)
- Maximum percentage determined primarily by number of frost free dates/growing season


## Index Intervals

INDEX INTERVALS
(221) Index Interval I
(222) Index Interval II
(223) Index Interval III
(224) Index Interval IV
(225) Index Interval V
(226) Index Interval VI

## START DATE END DATE

February 1
April 1
June 1
August 1
October 1
December 1

March 31
May 31
July 31
September 30
November 30
January 31


## Index Intervals

- Index Interval: a specified period of time in which NDVI data is collected resulting in a grid index
$\square$ Producer can insure in any interval
- Can insure in $1,2,3$, or all 4 intervals - or any combination
$\square$ Minimum insurance $=10 \%$ in any chosen interval
$\square \underline{\text { Maximum insurance }}$
- There is no maximum amount of insurance per interval


## Index Intervals

INDEX INTERVALS
(231) Index Interval I
(232) Index Interval II
(233) Index Interval III
(234) Index Interval IV

## START DATE END DATE

April 1
July 1
October 1
January 1

June 30
September 30
December 31
March 31


## Index Definitions

- Expected Grid Index: Based on the historical mean accumulated data by Index Interval, expressed as a percentage; EGI $=100$
- Data $=$ precipitation RAINFALL
- Data $=$ NDVI greenness VEGETATION
- Trigger Grid Index: The selected coverage level multiplied by the Expected Grid Index
$\square$ i.e. - Coverage Level $=85$; then Trigger Grid Index $=85$
$\square$ If the final grid index falls below the trigger grid index, the insured may be due an indemnity
- Final Grid Index: Based on the current accumulated data for each Index Interval
$\square$ If current data represents a $40 \%$ reduction, then $\mathrm{FGI}=60$
- Data $=$ precipitation RAINFALL
- Data $=$ NDVI greenness VEGETATION


## Rates and Premiums

■ Premium Rate is applied to each Unit
$\square$ All units independently rated

- Each Grid ID, Crop Type, Coverage Level, and Index Interval
- Minimizes adverse selection
$\square$ Premium/unit (Index Interval) $=\$$ amount of protection/acre $x$ number of insured acres/unit $x$ premium rate $x$ adjustment factor of 0.01 $x$ share


## Rates and Premiums

- Premium Subsidy per Unit =

Premium per Unit $x$ Subsidy Rate

- Producer Premium per Unit =

Premium per Unit - Premium Subsidy per Unit

## Bотн

## Rates and Premiums

■ Total Policy Premium:
$\square$ The sum of all "premium per unit" values for the policy

- Total Subsidy:
$\square$ The sum of all "premium subsidy per unit" values for the policy
- Total Producer Premium:
$\square$ The sum of all "producer premium per unit" values for the policy


## Trigger and Indemnity

■ Payment Calculation Factor:
$\square$ Consistent with other GRP Programs
$\square$ (Trigger Grid Index - Final Grid Index)/Trigger Grid Index) for each Unit
$\square$ An indemnity may be made only if the Final Grid Index is less than the Trigger Grid Index
$\square$ If indemnity is due, it will be issued not later than 60 days following the determination of the Final Grid Index
$\square$ Indemnity =

- Payment Calculation Factor $x$ Policy Protection/Unit


## Trigger and Indemnity Example

EXAMPLE:
Trigger Grid Index (Coverage Level) $=85$

Final Grid Index: Interval II = 90, Interval III = 60
Payment Calculation Factor =
Index Interval II: $(85-90) / 85=$ No indemnity due $(90>$ TGI)
Index Interval III: $(85-60) / 85=0.294$

Total Indemnity = \$2,646
Index Interval II = \$0
Index Interval III $=\mathbf{( \$ 9 , 0 0 0} \times \mathbf{0 . 2 9 4})=\mathbf{\$ 2 , 6 4 6}$
$\{\$ 18.00 \times 500$ (acres in III) 1.0 (share) $\} \times 0.294=\$ 2,646$

## Program Basics, Quick Review

- County - contiguous acreage can cross county/state lines
- Insurable and Insured acres
- Basic Units only

■ Sales Closing Date: November 30 ${ }^{\text {th }}$

- Productivity Factor
- Dollar Amount of Protection per Acre:
$\square$ CBV $x$ PF ( $60 \%$ - 150\%) $x$ CL ( $70 \%$ - 90\%)


## Program Basics, Quick Review

- Multiple Index Intervals
$\square 6$, 2-month intervals
- Must select at least 2 intervals
$\square$ 4, 3-month intervals
RAINFALL
- Can select 1 or more intervals
- Policy Protection per Unit:
$\square$ \$ Amount of Protection per Ac $x$ Insured Acres $x$ share


## Program Basics, Quick Review

- Premium per Unit:
$\square \$$ amount of protection/acre $x$ number of insured acres/unit $x$ premium rate $x$ adjustment factor of 0.01 $x$ share
- Payment Calculation Factor:
$\square$ (Trigger Grid Index - Final Grid Index)/Trigger Grid Index)
- Indemnity:
$\square$ Payment Calculation Factor x Policy Protection per Unit


## Questions?

## Grid ID Selection

■ Grid ID: A specific code associated with each grid
$\square$ Number $=$ typically 5 digits Rainfall
$\square$ Number = typically 6 digits Vegetation

- Point of Reference: A designated point, identifiable by longitude and latitude
$\square$ Selected by the insured
$\square$ Point that best represents the insured acreage
$\square$ This determines the Grid ID for insurance


## Grid ID Selection

- Certify the points of reference are representative of the acreage assigned to each Grid ID and the amount of acreage in each Grid ID(s)
$\square$ Example: if the contiguous acreage is located in four grids the acreage can be separated into two, three, or four grids - or left all in one grid
$\square$ The same acres cannot be insured in more than one Grid ID or county
- Determine the point of reference and corresponding Grid ID by Sales Closing Date


## Examples of Determining Grid ID(s)

ㅁ Contiguous Acreage - One Grid
$\square$ The insured picks one point of reference on the property

| Grid 1 | Grid 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |

## Examples of Determining Grid ID(s)

■ Contiguous Acreage - Multiple Grids, Counties, and/or States (Combined)
$\square$ The insured picks one point of reference in the contiguous acreage (could pick Grid 1 or Grid 2)

| Grid 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- |

## Bотн

## Examples of Determining Grid ID(s)

- Contiguous Acreage - Multiple Grids, Counties, and/or States (Separated)
$\square$ The insured selects one point of reference in each Grid and assigns the number of acres

| Grid 1 |  | Grid 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Examples of Determining Grid ID(s)

- Determining the Grid ID (s) for Non-Contiguous Acreage (multiple properties)
$\square$ A point of reference must be selected for each separate, non-contiguous acreage
$\square$ The steps in determining the point of reference are similar to the steps outlined for contiguous acreage, simply repeated for each non-contiguous acreage to be insured


## Examples of Determining Grid ID(s)

$\square$ The insured has two separate acreage locations in two grids
$\square$ The insured picks a point of reference in Grid 1 and a point of reference in Grid 4 and insures the two properties under two separate Grid ID’s

| Grid 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- |

## Examples of Determining Grid ID(s)

$\square$ The insured has two separate acreage locations in three grids
$\square$ First, the insured would pick a point of reference in Grid 4
$\square$ The insured then has the option of combining his acreage in Grid 1 and Grid 2, or insuring them separately by grid


## Examples of Determining Grid ID(s)

$\square$ If the non-contiguous acreage is located in the same grid
$\square$ The non-contiguous acreage will be combined and given a single Grid ID

| Grid 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Review of Determining Grid ID(s)

| Type of Acreage | Grid Information | Guideline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contiguous Acreage | Single Grid | Choose one point of reference |
| Contiguous Acreage | Multiple Grids - Combined | Choose one point of reference |
| Contiguous Acreage | Multiple Grids - Separated | Choose one point of reference <br> for each Grid |
| Non-Contiguous Acreage <br> (multiple properties) |  | Choose one point of reference <br> for each, separate, non- <br> contiguous acreage in the <br> county |

## Grid ID Selection Test



## Grid ID Selection Test



## Questions?

## Use of the Website

 AND INFORMATION Needed
## Determining Grid ID(s)

- Primary step:
$\square \quad$ Accurately identify the Grid ID(s)

Web address for determining Grid ID(s):
RAINFALL
http://prfri-rma-map.tamu.edu/

## Vegetation

http://prfvi-rma-map.tamu.edu/

## Topographical Map

Map Driven Weather Grid Id Locator for Pasture, Rangeland, Forage Rainfall Index Insurance Program

## Steps

1. Set Layer to Topo Map
2. Type in nearest town
3. Click FIND
4. View site list
5. Click site to view
6. Navigate to property
7. Switch layer to Photo
8. Navigate to point
9. Print view for records
10. Note Grid ID

Type a city name and click FIND
City: San Angelo, Texas FIND Possible matches. Click to view

1. San Angelo. Texas
2. San Angelo Junction. Texas

Select the type of map below Layer: 1977 Topo View data at this location
Lookup Grid ID Using Lat/Lon Decision Support Tool View Historical Rainfall Indices View Rates/Values
RMA Premium Calculator

## Other Links

Return to RMA

San Angelo, Tom Green County, Texas, United States
Latitude=31.4599, Longitude=-100.4401, Rainfall Grid ID $=36753$.


## Determining Grid ID(s) - Basic Steps

- Type in the city and/or county name where the property is located
- Select the city or county from the possible matches, a topo map for the area will be displayed
- Narrow the search by selecting an area near the actual location of the insured's property
- Once the applicant has located the general area, it is recommended they continue to refine the search by switching to the photo maps
- Using the topo map, photo map, or combination of both, choose an appropriate resolution for proper identification of the property boundaries and corresponding Grid ID(s)


## Вотн

## Photo Map

Map Driven Weather Grid Id Locator for Pasture, Rangeland, Forage Rainfall Index Insurance Program


18 mi E of San Angelo, Tom Green County, Texas, United States Latitude=31.5138, Longitude=-100.1403, Rainfall Grid ID=36754.


## Determining Grid ID(s) - Additional Steps

- The insured then selects one point of reference on the property by moving the cross marker ('+') to that location
$\square$ Grid ID is listed at the top of the screen (and on the map itself)
- A Print Icon is in the lower right hand corner of the screen
$\square$ This printed map can be used as a record to verify the Grid ID
$\square$ Once printed, the property boundary can also be outlined and initialed by the insured for verification purposes
- The insured must certify the point of reference


## Coverage, Rate, and Index Reports

■ County Base Values - Accessible at RMA website

| County Base Value Report for Pasture, Rangeland, Forage |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop Year: 2007 St |  | Colorado | Insurance Plan: (13) GRP RAINFALL INDEX |  |
| County | Type | Base Value | Total Acrea | Per Interval |
| Adams | GRAZINGLAND (064) | 8.26 | MIN: $10 \%$ | Max: 60 \% |
| Adams | HAYLAND (063) | 224.57 | MIN : $10 \%$ | Max: 60 \% |
| Criteria Page |  |  | Report Menu |  |

## Coverage, Rate, and Index Reports

- Rates - Accessible at RMA website


## Premium Rate Report for Pasture, Rangeland, Forage

Crop Year: 2007
State: (08) Colorado
Insurance Plan: (13) GRP Rainfall Index

> | Coverage Level $\mathbf{7 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 5} \%$ | $\mathbf{8 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{8 5} \%$ | $\mathbf{9 0} \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subsidy Factor | .64 | .64 | .59 | .59 | .55 |

|  |  |  |  | Unsubsidized Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grid ID | County | Interval | Type | 70\% | 75\% | 80\% | 85\% | 90\% |
| 24539 | Weld | 221 INDEX INTERVALI | 063 HAYLAND | 14.86\% | 17.03\% | 18.86\% | 20.68\% | 22.49\% |
|  | Weld | 221 INDEX INTERVALI | 064 GRAZINGLAND | 14.86\% | 17.03\% | 18.86\% | 20.68\% | 22.49\% |
|  | Weld | 222 INDEX INTERVAL II | 063 HAYLAND | 7.08\% | 8.45\% | 10.25\% | 11.85\% | 13.55\% |
|  | Weld | 222 INDEX INTERVAL II | 064 GRAZINGLAND | 7.08\% | 8.45\% | 10.25\% | 11.85\% | 13.55\% |
|  | Weld | 223 INDEX INTERVAL III | 063 HAYLAND | 7.07\% | 8.47\% | 10.02\% | 11.51\% | 12.82\% |
|  | Weld | 223 INDEX INTERVAL III | 064 GRAZINGLAND | 7.07\% | 8.47\% | 10.02\% | 11.51\% | 12.82\% |
|  | Weld | 224 INDEX INTERVAL IV | 063 HAYLAND | 6.46\% | 8.02\% | 9.87\% | 11.96\% | 14.13\% |
|  | Weld | 224 INDEX INTERVAL IV | 064 GRAZINGLAND | 6.46\% | 8.02\% | 9.87\% | 11.96\% | 14.13\% |
|  | Weld | 225 INDEX INTERVAL V | 063 HAYLAND | 12.78\% | 14.87\% | 16.99\% | 18.69\% | 20.30\% |
|  | Weld | 225 INDEX INTERVAL V | 064 GRAZINGLAND | 12.78\% | 14.87\% | 16.99\% | 18.69\% | 20.30\% |
|  | Weld | 226 INDEX INTERVAL VI | 063 HAYLAND | 12.07\% | 14.02\% | 15.94\% | 17.84\% | 19.58\% |
|  | Weld | 226 INDEX INTERVAL V/ | 064 GRAZINGLAND | 12.07\% | 14.02\% | 15.94\% | 17.84\% | 19.58\% |

## Bотн

## Coverage, Rate, and Index Reports

- Final Index, Payment Calculation Factors


NOTE: Final Grid Indices and Payment Factors are made available following the end date of the Index Interval as defined by the Special Provisions of Insurance.

## Information Agents Need to Collect

■ Insurable Acres

- Share
- Producer Selections (for each County/State combination):
$\square$ Crop Type
$\square$ Grid IDs
$\square$ Coverage Level
$\square$ Productivity Factor
$\square$ Index Intervals
$\square$ Insured Acres
$\square$ Amount of Insurance per Index Interval


## BOTH

## Worksheet Information

PASTURE, RANGELAND, FORAGE RAINFALL INDEX WOORKSHEET


## Worksheet Information

General policy information


1. Insured's Name: ___ 2. Date: __ _ _ 3. State: ___ _ _ 4. County: $\qquad$ (_)
2. Crop Type: $\qquad$ 6. Coverage Level/Trigger Index: $\qquad$ 7. Productivity Factor: $\qquad$ \% 8. $\$ \mathrm{Amt}$ of Prot/Ac: $\qquad$

Finish with name and grower initials

$\qquad$ (Agent's Signature)

Grower's Initials: $\qquad$

## Worksheet Information




Insert the Grid ID
(determined from map and acreage location)

Put the number of insured acres (not required to insure 100\%)

Insert share

Calculate totals

## BOTH

## Worksheet Information

Insert Index Interval code



Calculate the number of insured acres per Index Interval (Insured acres $x$ percentage in \#13)

Total acres (should equal total insured acres for the Grid ID)

Total in 14a should equal total insured acres

## Worksheet Information



Look at the coverage and rate table to determine rate

Calculate the premium/unit = (\$ amount of protection/acre $x$ number of insured acres/unit $x$ premium rate $x$ adjustment factor of 0.01 $x$ share)

## Worksheet Information



## Worksheet Information - Completed

PASTURE, RANGELAND, FORAGE RAINFALL INDEX VVORKSHEET

1. Insured's Name: $\quad$ 2. Date:
2. Crop Type: $\qquad$ 3. State: $\qquad$ ( 4. County: $\qquad$ ( ——
3. Productivity Factor: \% 8. S Amt. of Prot/Ac:

| $9 .$ <br> Grid ID | 10. <br> Insurable Acreage | $11 .$ <br> Insured <br> Acreage | 12. <br> Share |  | $13 .$ <br> Index <br> Interval | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14. } \\ \text { Unit } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | 15. <br> \% Insured acreage/ Unit | ```16. Insured acreage/ Unit``` | 17. <br> Policy Protection/ Unit | 18.Premium <br> Rate/ $\$ 100$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 . \\ \text { Premium/ } \\ \text { Unit } \end{gathered}$ | $\underline{20} .$ <br> Premium Subsidy Amt | 21. <br> Premium Due From Grower |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | percentage |  |  |  | percentage | acres | dollars | dollars | dollars | dollars | dollars |
| 37881 | 100 | 100 | 100 | I | 221 | 00100 | 50 | 50 | 900 | 12.00 | 108 | 64 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  | II | 222 | 00200 | 50 | 50 | 900 | 14.00 | 126 | 74 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Iv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37882 | 50 | 50 | 100 | I | 221 | 00100 | 10 | 5 | 90 | 13.50 | 12 | 7 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | II | 222 | 00200 | 50 | 25 | 450 | 13.00 | 59 | 35 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Iv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | v |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | VI | 226 | 00300 | 40 | 20 | 360 | 12.00 | 43 | 25 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 100 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37883 | 100 | 100 | 50 | I | 221 | 00100 | 50 | 50 | 450 | 13.00 | 59 | 35 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | v |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | VI | 226 | 00200 | 50 | 50 | 450 | 12.00 | 54 | 32 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37884 | 245 | 245 | 100 | I | 221 | 00100 | 50 | 122.5 | 2205 | 13.00 | 287 | 169 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | II | 222 | 00200 | 30 | 73.5 | 1323 | 14.00 | 185 | 109 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | III | 223 | 00300 | 20 | 49 | 882 | 15.00 | 132 | 78 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | v |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 100 | 245 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16a. 495 | 17a. $\$ 8,010$ |  | 19a. \$1,065 | 20a. $\$ 628$ | 21a. $\$ 437$ |

Prepared by:

## Worksheet Information - Completed

## PASTURE, RANGELAND, FORAGE RAINFALL INDEX VVORKSHEET

1. Insured's Name: $\qquad$ 2. Date: 3. State: $\qquad$ ( 4. County: $\qquad$ $C$ (
2. Crop Type:
3. Coverage Level/Trigger Index: $\qquad$ 7. Productivity Factor:
\% 8. \$ Amt. of Prot/Ac:

| $\underline{9 .}$ <br> Grid ID | 10.Insurable <br> Acreage | 11. Insured Acreage | 12. <br> Share |  | 13. <br> Index <br> Interval | $14 .$ <br> Unit <br> Number | 15. \% Insured acreage/ Unit | 16. <br> Insured acreage/ Unit | $\underline{17 .}$ Policy Protection/ Unit | 18.Premium <br> Rate/ $/ \$ 100$ | 19.Premium/ <br> Unit | $20 .$ <br> Premium Subsidy Amt | 21. <br> Premium Due From Grower |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | percentage |  |  |  | percentage | acres | dollars | dollars | dollars | dollars | dollars |
| 37881 | 100 | 100 | 100 | I | 221 | 00100 | 50 | 50 | 900 | 12.00 | 108 | 64 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  | II | 222 | 00200 | 50 | 50 | 900 | 14.00 | 126 | 74 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | v |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1, $\times 1 \times 8$ | Total | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37882 | 50 | 50 | 100 | I | 221 | 00100 | 10 | 5 | 90 | 13.50 | 12 | 7 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | II | 222 | 00200 | 50 | 25 | 450 | 13.00 | 59 | 35 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | VI | I 226 | 00300 | 40 | 20 | 360 | 12.00 | 43 | 25 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 100 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37883 | 100 | 100 | 50 | I | 221 | 00100 | 50 | 50 | 450 | 13.00 | 59 | 35 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | VI | I 226 | 00200 | 50 | 50 | 450 | 12.00 | 54 | 32 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37884 | 245 | 245 | 100 | I | 221 | 00100 | 50 | 122.5 | 2205 | 13.00 | 287 | 169 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | II | 222 | 00200 | 30 | 73.5 | 1323 | 14.00 | 185 | 109 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | III | - 223 | 00300 | 20 | 49 | 882 | 15.00 | 132 | 78 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 100 | 245 |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Total | 10a. 495 11a. 495 |  | 16a. 495 17a. \$8,010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$19a. \$1,065 [20a. \$628] 21a. \$437 |  |  |  |

## Causes of Loss

- The reduction in the final grid index must be due to natural occurrences
$\square$ A cause other than a natural occurrence will result in the assignment of a value to correspond to the reduction due to natural occurrences only


## How the Index is Reported

- The Final Grid Index will be available on the RMA website following the end date of each Index Interval


## Questions?

## Joe B. Rancher

## Contacts His Agent

A step-by-step example (based off the Rainfall program)

## Determining Grid ID's



Joe Rancher has 645
acres of insurable
grazingland and hayland
in two counties. His
insurable acreage is
contained in five non-
contiguous properties:
A, B, C, D, and E.

Note: Actual Grid IDs will have 5 (RI) or 6 (VI) digits.

## Decision

■ Joe Rancher decides to insure the four properties (535 insurable acres) located in County B and leave property A uninsured in County A

■ Had he chosen to insure Property A in County A, he would have had to insure that acreage separately because Property A is non-contiguous from his other properties and located in a different county

## Decision

■ Property B - Contiguous acreage located in more than one grid
$\square$ Decides to separate the property into two Grid IDs, with 100 insured acreage in Grid 1 and 50 insured acreage in Grid 2. He picks a reference point in each grid


## Decision

- Property C - Contiguous acreage spread into more than one county, which contains two crop types (both grazingland and hayland with $50 \%$ share)
$\square$ Decides to pick a point of reference in County B and use that point of reference to represent all the contiguous insurable grazingland acreage (100 acres) in both County A and County B (decides not to insure haylands)



## Decision

- Property D and E - Non-Contiguous acreage located in a single grid (both grazingland with 100\% share)
$\square$ Joe Rancher combines Properties D and E and insures all 245 acres under Grid ID 4



## Summary

Insured Acreage, Grid ID, Coverage Level, Productivity Factor, \$ of Protection/Ac

| Grid ID | Property | Insured Acreage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grid 1 (insert the actual Grid ID <br> number for the insured, i.e. 37881) | B | 100 |
| Grid 2 (insert the actual Grid ID <br> number for the insured, i.e. 37882) | B | 50 |
| Grid 3 (insert the actual Grid ID <br> number for the insured, i.e. 38773) | C | 100 |
| Grid 4 (insert the actual Grid ID <br> number for the insured, i.e. 38774) | D \&E | 245 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 9 5}$ |  |

Joe Rancher selects for grazingland:
Coverage Level $=85 \%$
Productivity Factor $=120 \%$
County Base Value $=\$ 17.65$
Dollar Amount of Production per Acre

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\$ 17.65 \times 0.85 \times 1.20 \\
& =\$ 18.00 \text { per Acre }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sunninary

| Grid ID | Index Interval | Unit <br> Number | \% Protection | Number of acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grid 1$\text { Insured acreage }=$$100$ | I | 00100 | 50\% | 50 ac |
|  | II | 00200 | 50\% | 50 ac |
|  | III |  |  |  |
|  | IV |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |
|  | VI |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 100\% | 100 ac |
| Grid 2 <br> Insured acreage $=$ <br> 50 | I | 00100 | 10\% | 5 ac |
|  | II | 00200 | 50\% | 25 ac |
|  | III |  |  |  |
|  | IV |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |
|  | VI | 00300 | 40\% | 20 ac |
|  | Total |  | 100\% | 50 ac |
| Grid 3 <br> Insured acreage $=$ <br> 100 | I | 00100 | 50\% | 50 ac |
|  | II |  |  |  |
|  | III |  |  |  |
|  | IV |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |
|  | VI | 00200 | 50\% | 50 ac |
|  | Total |  | 100\% | 100 ac |
| Grid 4 <br> Insured acreage $=$ $245$ | I | 00100 | 50\% | 122.5 ac |
|  | II | 00200 | 30\% | 73.5 ac |
|  | III | 00300 | 20\% | 49 ac |
|  | IV |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |
|  | VI |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 100\% | 245 ac |

Designates specific percentage of the insured acreage to at least two of the index intervals for each Grid ID

Note: RAINFALL ONLY

He finds that he can place no more than $50 \%$ of his insured acreage to any one index interval

Note: RAINFALL ONLY

Note: Interval selections do not have to be contiguous

## Policy Protection per Unit (10 Units)

| Grid ID |  | Index interval | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unit } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Policy <br> Protection/Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grid 1 <br> Insured acreage $=100$ <br> $100 \%$ share | I | (\$18.00 X 50ac X 1.0) | 00100 | \$900 |
|  | II | (\$18.00 X 50ac X 1.0) | 00200 | \$900 |
|  | III |  |  |  |
|  | IV |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |
|  | VI |  |  |  |
| Grid 2 <br> Insured acreage $=\mathbf{5 0}$ <br> $100 \%$ share | I | (\$18.00 X 5ac X 1.0) | 00100 | \$90 |
|  | II | (\$18.00 X 25ac X 1.0) | 00200 | \$450 |
|  | III |  |  |  |
|  | IV |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |
|  | VI | (\$18.00 X 20ac X 1.0$)$ | 00300 | \$360 |
| Grid 3 <br> Insured acreage $=100$ <br> 50\% share | I | (\$18.00 X 50ac X 0.50) | 00100 | \$450 |
|  | II |  |  |  |
|  | III |  |  |  |
|  | IV |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |
|  | VI | (\$18.00 X 50ac X 0.50) | 00200 | \$450 |
| Grid 4 <br> Insured acreage $=245$ <br> $100 \%$ share |  | (\$18.00 X 122.5ac X 1.0) | 00100 | \$2,205 |
|  | II | (\$18.00 X 73.5ac X 1.0) | 00200 | \$1,323 |
|  | III | (\$18.00 X 49ac X 1.0) | 00300 | \$882 |
|  | IV |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |
|  | VI |  |  |  |
| Policy Protection |  |  |  | \$8,010 |

## Premium

■ Joe Rancher and his agent look up the applicable premium rates using the premium rate tables

- Premium/unit (Index Interval) =
\$ amount of protection/acre
$x$ number of insured acres/unit
$x$ premium rate
$x$ adjustment factor of 0.01
$x$ share


## Summary of Premium



## Premium Subsidy Amount

- Joe Rancher and his agent refer to the GRP subsidy tables
$\square$ For the coverage level of $85 \%$, the applicable subsidy percentage is $59 \%$
- Premium Subsidy/Unit =
$\square$ Premium/unit $x$ subsidy percentage
Example: $\$ 108 \times 0.59=\$ 64$


## Premium Due from Producer

- The Premium due from Producer is the result of the Premium/unit minus the Subsidy/unit
- Premium per unit - Premium subsidy per unit Example: \$108-\$64 = \$44
- They sum the Subsidy and Producer Premiums to determine the Totals

Summary of Premium, Subsidy, and Producer Premium

| Grid ID | Index <br> Interval | Unit Number | Premiums | Premium Subsidy | Producer <br> Premium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grid 1 | I | 00100 | \$108 | \$64 | \$44 |
|  | II | 00200 | \$126 | \$74 | \$52 |
|  | III |  |  |  |  |
|  | IV |  |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |  |
|  | VI |  |  |  |  |
| Grid 2 | I | 00100 | \$12 | \$7 | \$5 |
|  | II | 00200 | \$59 | \$35 | \$24 |
|  | III |  |  |  |  |
|  | IV |  |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |  |
|  | VI | 00300 | \$43 | \$25 | \$18 |
| Grid 3 | I | 00100 | \$59 | \$35 | \$24 |
|  | II |  |  |  |  |
|  | III |  |  |  |  |
|  | IV |  |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |  |
|  | VI | 00200 | \$54 | \$32 | \$22 |
| Grid 4 | I | 00100 | \$287 | \$169 | \$118 |
|  | II | 00200 | \$185 | \$109 | \$76 |
|  | III | 00300 | \$132 | \$78 | \$54 |
|  | IV |  |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |  |
|  | VI |  |  |  |  |
|  | Totals |  | \$1,065 | \$628 | \$437 |

## Worksheet with All Information

PASTURE, RANGELAND, FORAGE RAINFALL INDEX VNORKSHEET

1. Insured's Name: $\qquad$ Joe B. Rancher $\qquad$ 2. Date: $10 / \underline{15 / 2006}$
2. State: $T \times(48)$
3. County:
Andrews (003)
4. Crop Type: _Grazingland 6. Coverage Level/Trigger Index: __ 85_ 7. Productivity Factor: _120_ \% 8. \$ Amt. of Prot/Ac: _18.00_

| $9 .$ <br> Grid ID | 10.Insurable <br> Acreage | $11 .$ <br> Insured <br> Acreage | 12. <br> Share |  | 13. <br> Index <br> Interval | $14 .$ <br> Unit Number | 15. \% Insured acreage/ Unit | 16. <br> Insured <br> acreage/ <br> Unit | 17. <br> Policy Protection/ Unit | $\underline{18 .}$Premium <br> Rate/ $\$ 100$ | 19.Premium/ <br> Unit | $\underline{20}$ <br> Premium <br> Subsidy <br> Amt | 21. <br> Premium Due From Grower |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | percentage |  |  |  | percentage | acres | dollars | dollars | dollars | dollars | dollars |
| 37881 | 100 | 100 | 100 | I | 221 | 00100 | 50 | 50 | 900 | 12.00 | 108 | 64 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  | II | 222 | 00200 | 50 | 50 | 900 | 14.00 | 126 | 74 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | v |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37882 | 50 | 50 | 100 | I | 221 | 00100 | 10 | 5 | 90 | 13.50 | 12 | 7 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | II | 222 | 00200 | 50 | 25 | 450 | 13.00 | 59 | 35 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | v |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | VI | 226 | 00300 | 40 | 20 | 360 | 12.00 | 43 | 25 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 100 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37883 | 100 | 100 | 50 | I | 221 | 00100 | 50 | 50 | 450 | 13.00 | 59 | 35 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | v |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | VI | 226 | 00200 | 50 | 50 | 450 | 12.00 | 54 | 32 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37884 | 245 | 245 | 100 | I | 221 | 00100 | 50 | 122.5 | 2205 | 13.00 | 287 | 169 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | II | 222 | 00200 | 30 | 73.5 | 1323 | 14.00 | 185 | 109 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | III | 223 | 00300 | 2 O | 49 | 882 | 15.00 | 132 | 78 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | VI, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \% | Total | 100 | $245$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| unty Total | 0a. 495 | 1a. 495 | ¢ |  | ¢ | , <, 尔 | 邓, | 16a. 495 | 17a. $\$ 8,010$ | , | 19a.\$1,065 | 0a. $\$ 628$ | 21a. $\$ 437$ |

Prepared by: $\qquad$ (Agent's Signature)

# Final GRID Index AND INDEMNITIES 

A step-by-step example continued (based off the Rainfall program)

## Bотн

## Final and Trigger Grid Index

| Grid ID | Index Interval | Unit Number | Final Grid Index | Trigger <br> (Above or Below) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grid 1 | I | 00100 | 120 | Above |
|  | II | 00200 | 100 | Above |
|  | III |  |  |  |
|  | IV |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |
|  | VI |  |  |  |
| Grid 2 | I | 00100 | 110 | Above |
|  | II | 00200 | 90 | Above |
|  | III |  |  |  |
|  | IV |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |
|  | VI | 00300 | 70 | Below |
| Grid 3 | I | 00100 | 110 | Above |
|  | II |  |  |  |
|  | III |  |  |  |
|  | IV |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |
|  | VI | 00200 | 60 | Below |
| Grid 4 | I | 00100 | 120 | Above |
|  | II | 00200 | 70 | Below |
|  | III | 00300 | 60 | Below |
|  | IV |  |  |  |
|  | V |  |  |  |
|  | VI |  |  |  |

Trigger Grid Index is 85 for all grids and Index Intervals

## Calculating Indemnities

- Payment calculation factor =
(trigger grid index - final grid index)
trigger grid index
- Indemnity payment = payment calculation factor $x$ Policy protection per unit


## Example Calculations

- Grid 4-245 Acres
- Index Interval I: The final grid index of 120 is above the trigger grid index of 85. No indemnity is due
- Index Interval II: The final grid index of 70 is below the trigger grid index of 85

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Payment calculation factor } & =(85-70) / 85 \\
& =0.176 \\
\text { Indemnity payment } & =0.176 \times \$ 1,323 \\
& =\$ 233
\end{aligned}
$$

- Index Interval III: The final grid index of 60 is below the trigger grid index of 85

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Payment calculation factor } & =(85-60) / 85 \\
& =0.294 \\
\text { Indemnity payment } & =0.294 \times \$ 882 \\
& =\$ 259
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bотн

## Summary of Yearly Policy in Example

■ Joe Rancher insured 495 acres of grazingland in Four separate Grid ID’s

- Joe Rancher paid \$437 in premium for $\$ 8,010$ in protection
- A total indemnity of $\$ 687$ will be due to Joe Rancher for this County and Crop Year


## Questions?

## Additional Program Tools and <br> INFORMATION

## PRF Decision Tool

- The Decision Tool is not part of the program
$\square$ Not required to buy insurance
$\square$ Provides estimates
$\square$ Values are based on current information to derive historical estimates of indemnity, premium, and subsidy numbers
$\square$ May not match the official figures released by FCIC in past years
$\square$ Contact a qualified insurance agent for actual premium quotes


## Вотн

## Decision Tool: Example



## Вотн

## Decision Tool: Example

This tool provides estimates for indemnity, premium, and subsidy values for the Pasture, Rangeland, Forage Rainfall Index Pilot Program. These values are based on current information to derive historical estimates of indemnity, premium, and subsidy numbers and may not match the official figures released by FCIC in past years. Contact a qualified insurance agent for actual premium quotes.

| Index Interval* | Insured <br> Acres per Index Interval | Policy Protection per Unit | Premium Rate per \$1nn | Total Premium ( $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Premium } \\ & \text { Subsidy } \\ & \hline \text { (\$/ac) } \end{aligned}$ | Producer premium (\$/ac) | Index Value | Indemnity (\$/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 122.50 | \$1,389 | 31.33 | \$3.55 | \$2.10 | \$1.46 | 41.8 | \$5.76 |
| II | 73.50 | \$833 | 31.56 | \$3.58 | \$2.11 | \$1.47 | 43.1 | \$5.59 |
| III | 49 | \$556 | 31.90 | \$3.62 | \$2.14 | \$1.48 | 37.6 | \$6.33 |
| IV | 0 | \$0 | 31.24 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 38.1 | \$0.00 |
| V | 0 | \$0 | 30.72 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 39.6 | \$0.00 |
| VI | 0 | \$0 | 31.06 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 39.5 | \$0.00 |
| Per Acre | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$3.57 | \$2.11 | \$1.46 | N/A | \$5.82 |
| Policy <br> Total | 245 | \$2,778 | N/A | \$875 | \$516 | \$359 | N/A | \$1,427 |

*Intervals: I-Feb-Mar, II-Apr-May, III-June-July, IV-Aug-Sep, Y-Oct-Nov, YI-Dec-Jan

Submit Query

Insert the number of acres for each

Index Interval (percentages allowed specified in the Special Provisions)


Once information is entered, click Submit Query
(if any information is changed must resubmit query)

## Additional Information

■ Historical Index
$\square$ Lookup values since 1948
RAINFALL
$\square$ Look up values since 1989 VEGETATION

- Lookup Grid ID using Longitude/Latitude
$\square$ Must be submitted in the correct data format
- RMA premium calculator


## Summary

- New programs for a commodity with little or no history of crop insurance
- GRP based program
- Losses determined by index (not individual production)
- Terminology differences
- Producer is allowed or required to make choices
- Can tailor the program to producer risk management needs


## Questions?

